Generalized Difference Sequence Space of Interval Numbers Defined by Orlicz Function

F. Deniz Menekse and Ayhan Esi

Department of Basic Eng.Sci, Malatya Turgut Ozal University, 44040 Malatya, Türkiye
e-mail: fatmadnnzz@gmail.com, ayhan.esi@ozal.edu.tr

Abstract

In this study, we introduce generalized difference sequence space of interval numbers using by Orlicz function and examine some properties of this class of interval number sequences.

1 Introduction

The notion of difference sequence spaces (for single sequences) was introduced by Kizmaz [24] as follows $Z(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in w : (\Delta x_k) \in Z\}$ for $Z = c, c_o$ and l_∞, where $\Delta x_k = x_k - x_{k+1}$ for all $k \in N$. Later, difference sequences were studied by many authors. The topic of interval analysis has been studied for a long time. For a detailed discussion we may suggest refer to some books, for example Moore [20]. The main issue is to regard to closed intervals as a kind of "points". Hereafter we will called them "interval numbers". Interval arithmetic was first suggested by Dwyer [12] in 1951. Development of interval arithmetic as a formal system and evidence of its value as a computational device was provided by Moore [20] in 1959 and Moore and Yang [14] in 1962. Furthermore, Moore [20], Dwyer [13] and Markov [15] have developed applications to differential equations.

Chiao in [11] introduced sequence of interval numbers and defined usual convergence of sequences of interval number. Sengonul and Eryilmaz in [16] introduced and studied bounded and convergent sequence spaces of interval

numbers and showed that these spaces are complete metric space. Recently, Esi in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6], Esi and Braha [7], Esi and Esi [8], Esi and Hazarika [9] defined and studied different properties of interval numbers.

We denote the set of all real valued closed intervals by IR. Any elements of IR is called interval number and denoted by $\overline{x} = [x_l, \ x_r]$. Let x_l and x_r be first and last points of x interval number, respectively. For $\overline{x}_1, \ \overline{x}_2 \in IR$, we have $\overline{x}_1 = \overline{x}_2 \Leftrightarrow x_{1_l} = x_{2_l}, x_{1_r} = x_{2_r}, \quad \overline{x}_1 + \overline{x}_2 = \{x \in R : x_{1_l} + x_{2_l} \le x \le x_{1_r} + x_{2_r}\}$, and if $\alpha \ge 0$, then $\alpha\overline{x} = \{x \in R : \alpha x_{1_l} \le x \le \alpha x_{1_r}\}$ and if $\alpha < 0$, then $\alpha\overline{x} = \{x \in R : \alpha x_{1_r} \le x \le \alpha x_{1_l}\}$,

$$\overline{x}_1. \ \overline{x}_2 = \{x \in R : \min\{x_{1_l}. \ x_{2_l}, \ x_{1_l}.x_{2_r}, \ x_{1_r}.x_{2_l}, \ x_{1_r}.x_{2_r}\} \le x$$

$$\le \max\{x_{1_l}.x_{2_l}, \ x_{1_l}.x_{2_r}, \ x_{1_r}.x_{2_l}, \ x_{1_r}.x_{2_r}\}.$$

The set of all interval numbers IR is a complete metric space defined by

$$d(\overline{x} = (\overline{x}_1, \ \overline{x}_2) = \max\{|x_{1_l} - x_{2_l}|, \ |x_{1_r} - x_{2_r}|\} \ [11].$$

In the special case $\overline{x}_1 = [a, \ a]$ and $\overline{x}_2 = [b, \ b]$, we obtain usual metric of R. Let us define transformation $f : N \to R$ by $k \to f(k) = \overline{x}_k, \overline{x} = (\overline{x}_k)$. Then $\overline{x} = (\overline{x}_k)$ is called sequence of interval numbers. The $\overline{x}_k$ is called k^{th} term of sequence $\overline{x} = (\overline{x}_k)$. Let w^i denotes the set of all interval numbers with real terms and the algebraic properties of w^i can be found in [15].

Now we recall the definition of convergence of interval numbers:

Definition 1.1. [11] *A sequence $\overline{x} = (\overline{x}_k)$ of interval numbers is said to be convergent to the interval number $\overline{x}_0$ if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer k_o such that $d(\overline{x}_k, \ \overline{x}_0) < \epsilon$ for all $k \ge k_o$ and we denote it by $\lim_k \overline{x}_k = \overline{x}_0$.*

Thus, $\lim_k \overline{x}_k = \overline{x}_0 \Leftrightarrow \lim_k x_{k_l} = x_{o_l}$ and $\lim_k x_{k_r} = x_{o_r}$

The set of all closed intervals in IR is not real vector space. The main reason is that there will be no additive inverse element for each interval numbers. In this work we wish to present some special classes of interval numbers on the interval valued metric space.

Let $p = (p)$ be a bounded sequence of strictly positive real numbers. If $H = \sup_k p_k$, then for any two complex numbers a_k and b_k we have $|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \le C(|a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k})$, where $C = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$. An Orlicz function is a function $M : [0, \ \infty) \to [0, \ \infty)$ which is continuous, nondecreasing and convex with $M(0) = 0, M(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ and $M(x) \to \infty$ as $X \to \infty$.

Sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions have been investigated by Et et.al.[21], Tripathy et.al. [22], Tripathy and Dutta [23] and many others.

Let M be an Orlicz function, $s \ge 0$ is a reel number and $p = (p)$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $0 \le h = \inf_k p_k \le p_k \le \sup_k p_k = H < \infty$. Let P_s denotes the set of all subsets of N, that do not contain more than s elements. With (ϕ_s) , we will denote a nondecreasing sequence of positive real

numbers such that $(s-1)\phi_{s-1} \leq (s-1)\phi_s$ and $\phi_s \to \infty$ as s $\to \infty$. The class of all the sequences (ϕ_s) satisfying this property is denoted by Φ. We introduce the following sequence space of interval number sequences.

$\bar{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \quad \Phi) = \{(\overline{x}_k) \in w^i: \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} [M(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta \overline{x}_k, \overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k} < \infty, \quad for$ some $r > 0\}$

2 Main Result

Theorem 2.1 *The set $\bar{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi)$ of sequences of interval numbers defined by a Orlicz function are closed under the coordinatewise addition and scalar multiplication.*

Proof. Let define the operations + and . as follows:

$$+: \bar{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \quad \Phi) \times \bar{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \quad \Phi) \to \bar{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi)$$

and

$$.: IR \times \bar{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \quad \Phi) \to \bar{l}_p((M, \Delta, p, \Phi) .$$

Let $(\overline{x}_k), (\overline{y}_k) \in \bar{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi)$, then we may write $\blacksquare$

$\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} [M(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta \overline{x}_k, \overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k} < \infty$ and $\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} [M(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta \overline{y}_k, \overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k} < \infty.$

Since $\overline{d}(\Delta \overline{x}_k + \Delta \overline{y}_k, \overline{0}) \leq \overline{d}(\Delta \overline{x}_k, \quad \overline{0}) + \overline{d}(\Delta \overline{y}_k, \quad \overline{0})$ and using nondecreasing of M Orlicz function, we obtain

$$M(\overline{d}(\Delta \overline{x}_k + \Delta \overline{y}_k, \quad \overline{0})) \leq M(\overline{d}(\Delta \overline{x}_k, \overline{0}) + \overline{d}(\Delta \overline{y}_k, \overline{0}))$$

$$\leq M(\overline{d}(\Delta \overline{x}_k, \quad \overline{0})) + M(\overline{d}(\Delta \overline{y}_k, \overline{0})) \quad .$$

Since the sequence $p = (p_k)$ satisfies $0 \leq h = \inf_k p_k \leq p_k \leq \sup_k p_k = H < \infty$ and since $C = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$, then

$$\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} [M(\overline{d}(\Delta \overline{x}_k + \Delta \overline{y}_k, \overline{0})]^{p_k} \leq \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} [M(\overline{d}(\Delta \overline{x}_k, \overline{0}) + M(\overline{d}(\Delta \overline{y}_k, \overline{0})]^{p_k}$$

$$\leq C \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} [M(\overline{d}(\Delta \overline{x}_k, \overline{0})]^{p_k}$$

$$+ C \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} [M(\overline{d}(\Delta \overline{y}_k, \overline{0}))]^{p_k}.$$

Then

$$\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} [M(\overline{d}(\Delta \overline{x}_k + \Delta \overline{y}_k, \overline{0}))]^{p_k}$$

$$\leq \sup_{s\geq 1, \sigma\in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k\in\sigma} C[M(\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k, \overline{0}))^{p_k}] + \sup_{s\geq 1, \sigma\in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k\in\sigma} C[M(\overline{d}(\Delta y_k, \overline{0}))]^{p_k} < \infty.$$

Therefore $(\overline{x}_k) + (\overline{y}_k) \in \overline{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi)$. Now, let $(\overline{x}_k) \in \overline{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi)$. Then

$$\sup_{s\geq 1, \sigma\in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k\in\sigma} [M(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k, \overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k} < \infty.$$

Let $\alpha \in R$. Since $\overline{d}(\alpha\Delta\overline{x}_k, \ \overline{0}) = |\alpha|\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k, \overline{0})$ then $M(\overline{d}(\alpha\Delta\overline{x}_k, \overline{0})) = M(|\alpha|\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k, \overline{0})) \leq |\alpha| M(\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k, \overline{0}))$ and since $p = (p_k)$ is bounded sequence of positive numbers, then we obtain $[M(\overline{d}(\alpha\Delta\overline{x}_k, \overline{0}))]^{p_k} \leq |\alpha|^{p_k}[M(\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k, \overline{0}))]^{p_k}$. Then we may write $\sup_{s\geq 1, \sigma\in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k\in\sigma} [M(\overline{d}(\alpha\Delta\overline{x}_k, \ \overline{0}))]^{p_k} \leq \sup_{s\geq 1, \sigma\in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k\in\sigma} |\alpha|^{p_k}[M(\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k, \ \overline{0}))]^{p_k} < \infty$. So, $\alpha\overline{x}_k \in \overline{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi)$.

Theorem 2.2 *Let $p = (p_k)$ be bounded. The class of sequences of interval numbers $\overline{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi)$ is complete metric space with respect to the following metric*

$$\overline{d}_p(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) = \inf\{r^{\frac{p_k}{T}}: \quad \sup_{s\geq 1, \sigma\in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k\in\sigma} [M(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k, \Delta\overline{y}_k)}{r})]^{p_k} \leq 1\}$$

where $T = \max(1, \sup_k p_k = H < \infty)$.

Proof. It can be easily verified that the class is metric space. Let $(\overline{x}^i) = \{\overline{x}_0^i, \ \overline{x}_1^i, \ \ldots\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\overline{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi)$. Then $\overline{d}_p(\overline{x}^i, \ \overline{x}^j) \to 0$ as $i, j \to \infty$. For given $\epsilon > 0$ choose $r > 0$ and $x_o > 0$ be such that $\frac{\epsilon}{rx_0} > 0$ and ∎

$M(\frac{rx_0}{2}) \geq 1$. Then there exists $n_o \in N$ such that $\overline{d}_p(\overline{x}^i, \ \overline{x}^j) < \frac{\epsilon}{rx_0}$ for all $i, j \geq n_o$. This implies

$$\inf\{r^{\frac{p_k}{T}}: \quad \sup_{s\geq 1, \sigma\in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k\in\sigma} [M(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k^i, \Delta\overline{x}_k^j)}{r})]^{p_k} \leq 1\} < \frac{\epsilon}{rx_0}.$$

Now, $M(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k^i, \Delta\overline{x}_k^j)}{r}) \leq 1 \leq M(\frac{rx_0}{2})$ implies that $\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k^i, \Delta\overline{x}_k^j) \leq \frac{rx_0}{2}$. So we obtain $\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k^i, \ \Delta\overline{x}_k^j) < \frac{rx_0}{2} \frac{\epsilon}{rx_0} = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. This implies $(\overline{x}_k^{(i)})$ is a Cauchy sequence of interval numbers in IR for all fixed $k \in N$. Since the set of interval numbers set IR is complete, so there exists an interval number $(\overline{x}_k)$ such that $\overline{x}_k^i \to \overline{x}_k$ as $i \to \infty$. Now

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \sup_{s\geq 1, \sigma\in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k\in\sigma} [M(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k^i, \Delta\overline{x}_k^j)}{r})] \leq 1 \Rightarrow \sup_{s\geq 1, \sigma\in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k\in\sigma} [M(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k^i, \Delta\overline{x}_k)}{r})] \leq 1.$$

Let $j \geq n_o$ then taking infimum of such r, we have $\overline{d}_p(\overline{x}_k^i, \ \overline{x}_k) < \epsilon$. Now using $\overline{d}_p(\overline{x}_k \ \overline{0}) \leq \overline{d}_p(\overline{x}_k, \ \overline{x}_k^i) + \overline{d}_p(\overline{x}_k^i, \ \overline{0})$ we get $(\overline{x}_k) \in \overline{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi)$. Since $\{\overline{x}_k^{(i)}\}$ is arbitrary Cauchy sequence then the space $\overline{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi)$ is complete.

Now we give relation between $\overline{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi^1)$ and $\overline{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi^2)$ with respect to an Orlicz function.

Theorem 2.3 $\bar{l}_p(M,\Delta,p,\Phi^1) \subset \bar{l}_p(M,\Delta,p,\Phi^2)$ *if and only if* A $=\sup_{s\geq 1}\frac{\phi^1_s}{\phi^2_s}<\infty$.

Proof. Let $\bar{x}=(\bar{x}_k)\in \bar{l}_p(M,\Delta,p,\Phi^1)$ and A $=\sup_{s\geq 1}\frac{\phi^1_s}{\phi^2_s}<\infty$. Then we can write

$$\sup_{s\geq 1,\sigma\in P_s}\frac{1}{\phi^2_s}\sum_{k\in\sigma}[M(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\bar{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k}\leq A\sup_{s\geq 1,\sigma\in P_s}\frac{1}{\phi^1_s}\sum_{k\in\sigma}[M(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\bar{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k}$$

■

Therefore $\bar{x}=(\bar{x}_k)\in \bar{l}_p(M,\Delta,p,\Phi^2)$. Conversely, let $\bar{l}_p(M,\Delta,p,\Phi^1)\subset \bar{l}_p(M,\Delta,p,\Phi^2)$ and $\bar{x}=(\bar{x}_k)\in \bar{l}_p(M,\Delta,p,\Phi^1)$. Then there exists $r>0$ such that

$$\sup_{s\geq 1,\sigma\in P_s}\frac{1}{\phi^1_s}\sum_{k\in\sigma}[M(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\bar{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k}<\infty.$$

Now suppose that $A=\sup_{s\geq 1}\frac{\phi^1_s}{\phi^2_s}=\infty$. Then there exists a sequence of natural numbers (ϕ_s) such that $\lim_{j\to\infty}\frac{\phi^1_{s_j}}{\phi^2_{s_j}}=\infty$. Hence we can write

$$\sup_{s\geq 1,\sigma\in P_s}\frac{1}{\phi^2_s}\sum_{k\in\sigma}[M(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\bar{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k}\geq A\sup_{s\geq 1,\sigma\in P_s}\frac{1}{\phi^1_s}\sum_{k\in\sigma}[M(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\bar{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k}=\infty.$$

Therefore $\bar{x}=(\bar{x}_k)\in \bar{l}_p(M,\Delta,p,\Phi^2)$ which is contradiction to the fact that $\bar{l}_p(M,\Delta,p,\Phi^1)\subset \bar{l}_p(M,\Delta,p,\Phi^2)$. Hence A $=\sup_{s\geq 1}\frac{\phi^1_s}{\phi^2_s}<\infty$. The following result is a consequence of this theorem.

Theorem 2.4 *Let* M *be an Orlicz function. Then* $\bar{l}_p(M,\Delta,p,\Phi^1)=\bar{l}_p(M,\Delta,p,\Phi^2)$ *if and only if* $\sup_{s\geq 1}\frac{\phi^1_s}{\phi^2_s}<\infty$ *and* $\sup_{s\geq 1}\frac{\phi^2_s}{\phi^1_s}<\infty$.

Proof. It is obvious. ■

Theorem 2.5 *Let* M_1 *and* M_2 *be two Orlicz functions which satisfying the* $\triangle_2-$ *condition. Then* $\bar{l}_p(M_1,\Delta,p,\Phi)\subset \bar{l}_p(M_1oM_2,\Delta,p,\Phi)$.

Proof. Let $\bar{x}=(\bar{x}_k\in\bar{l}_p(M,\Delta,p,\Phi)$ and $\epsilon>0$ be given and choose δ with $0<\delta<1$ such ■ that $M_1(t)<\epsilon$ for $0\leq t\leq\delta$. We may write

$$\sup_{s\geq 1,\sigma\in P_s}\frac{1}{\phi_s}\sum_{k\in\sigma}[M_1oM_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\bar{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k}=\sup_{s\geq 1,\sigma\in P_s}\frac{1}{\phi_s}\sum_{1}[M_1oM_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\bar{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k}$$

$$+\sup_{s\geq 1,\sigma\in P_s}\frac{1}{\phi_s}\sum_{2}[M_1oM_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\bar{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k}$$

where the summation $\sum_1$ is over $M_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r}) \leq \delta$ and the summation $\sum_2$ is over $M_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r}) > \delta$. Since M_1 is continuous, we have

$$\sup_{s\geq 1,\sigma\in P_s}\frac{1}{\phi_s}\sum_1 [M_1 o M_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k} \leq \max(1,\ M_1(1))^H \sup_{s\geq 1,\sigma\in P_s}\frac{1}{\phi_s}\sum_1 [M_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})]^X$$

Now for $M_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r}) > \delta$, we use the fact that

$$M_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r}) < M_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})\delta^{-1} \leq 1 + M_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})\delta^{-1}$$

Since M_1 satisfies $\triangle_2-$ *condition*,then there exists $B > 1$ such that

$$M_1[M_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})] \leq M_1[1 + M_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})\delta^{-1}]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}M_1(2) + \frac{1}{2}M_1(2M_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})\delta^{-1}) < \frac{1}{2}BM_1(2)M_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})\delta^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}BM_1(2)M_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})$$

$$= BM_1(2)M_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})\delta^{-1}$$

Then we have

$$\sup_{s\geq 1,\sigma\in P_s}\frac{1}{\phi_s}\sum_2 [M_1 o M_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k}$$

$$\leq \max(1,\ BM_1(2)\delta^{-1})^H \sup_{s\geq 1,\sigma\in P_s}\frac{1}{\phi_s}\sum_2 [M_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k}$$

$$\leq \max(1,\ BM_1(2)\delta^{-1})^H \sup_{s\geq 1,\sigma\in P_s}\frac{1}{\phi_s}\sum_{k\in\sigma} [M_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k}$$

Hence

$$\sup_{s\geq 1,\sigma\in P_s}\frac{1}{\phi_s}\sum_{k\in\sigma} [M_1 o M_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k}$$

$$\leq \max(1,\ M_1(1))^H \sup_{s\geq 1,\sigma\in P_s}\frac{1}{\phi_s}\sum_{k\in\sigma} [M_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k}$$

$$+ \max(1,\ BM_1(2)\delta^{-1})^H \sup_{s\geq 1,\sigma\in P_s}\frac{1}{\phi_s}\sum_{k\in\sigma} [M_2(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta\overline{x}_k,\overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k}$$

It follows that $\overline{x} = (\overline{x}_k) \in \overline{l}_p(M_1 o M_2, \Delta, p, \Phi)$.

Theorem 2.6 *Let M and N be two Orlicz functions. Then $\bar{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi) \cap \bar{l}_p(N, \Delta, p, \Phi) \subset \bar{l}_p(M + N, \Delta, p, \Phi)$.*

Proof. Let $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) \in \bar{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi) \cap \bar{l}_p(N, \Delta, p, \Phi)$. We have

$$[(M + N)(\bar{d}(\Delta \bar{x}_k, \bar{0}))]^{p_k} = [M(\bar{d}(\Delta \bar{x}_k, \bar{0})) + N(\bar{d}(\Delta \bar{x}_k, \bar{0}))]^{p_k}$$

∎

$$\leq C[M(\bar{d}(\Delta \bar{x}_k, \bar{0}))]^{p_k} + C[N(\bar{d}(\Delta \bar{x}_k, \bar{0}))]^{p_k}.$$

Then we can write

$$\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} [(M + N)(\bar{d}(\Delta \bar{x}_k, \bar{0}))]^{p_k}$$

$$\leq$$
$$C \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} [M(\bar{d}(\Delta \bar{x}_k, \bar{0}))]^{p_k} + C \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} [N(\bar{d}(\Delta \bar{x}_k, \bar{0}))]^{p_k}.$$
Hence we obtain $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) \in \bar{l}_p(M + N, \Delta, p, \Phi)$.

Theorem 2.7 *Let M be an Orlicz function, then a) $\bar{l}_\infty(\Delta) \subset \bar{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi)$, where $\bar{l}_\infty(\Delta) = \{\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) : \sup_k |\Delta \bar{x}_k| < \infty\}$.*

b) If M is bounded then $\bar{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi) = w^i$.
Proof. a) Let $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) \in \bar{l}_\infty(\Delta)$. Then there exists a positive integer $G \geq 0$ such that $\bar{d}(\Delta \bar{x}_k, \bar{0}) \leq G$. Then the sequence $(M(\bar{d}(\Delta \bar{x}_k, \bar{0}))$ is also bounded. Hence

$$[M(\bar{d}(\Delta \bar{x}_k, \bar{0}))]^{p_k} \leq [GM(1)]^{p_k} \leq [GM(1)]^H < \infty.$$

Therefore $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) \in \bar{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi)$. b) If M Orlicz function is bounded then for any $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) \in w^i, [M(\bar{d}(\Delta \bar{x}_k, \bar{0}))]^{p_k} \leq L^{p_k} \leq L^H < \infty$. Hence we obtain $\bar{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi = w^i$. ∎

Let X be a sequence space. Then X is called solid (or normal) if $(\alpha_k x_k) \in X$ whenever $(x_k) \in X$ for all sequences (α_k) of scalars with $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ for all k $\in N$.

Theorem 2.8 *The space $\bar{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi)$ is solid space.*

Proof. a) Let $\alpha = (\alpha_k)$ be a sequence of scalars such that $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ for all k $\in N$. ∎
We get

$$\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} [M(\frac{\bar{d}(\alpha_k \Delta \bar{x}_k, \bar{0})}{r})]^{p_k}$$

$$\leq \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} [M(\frac{\sup |\alpha_k| \bar{d}(\Delta \bar{x}_k, \bar{0})}{r})]^{p_k} \leq \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} [M(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta \bar{x}_k, \bar{0})}{r})]^{p_k}$$

Then the result follows from the above inequality.

Theorem 2.9 *Let M be an Orlicz function.*

   a) If $0 < \inf p_k = h \le p_k \le 1$ then $\bar{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi) \subset \bar{l}_p(M, \Delta, \Phi)$,
   b) If $1 \le p_k \le \sup p_k < \infty$, then $\bar{l}_p(M, \Delta, \Phi) \subset \bar{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi)$,
   c) If $0 < p_k \le q_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\bar{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi) \subset \bar{l}_p(M, \Delta, q, \Phi)$.

Proof. a) The proof is obtained by using the following inequality:

$$[M(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta \overline{x}_k, \overline{0})}{r})] \le [M(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta \overline{x}_k, \overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k}$$

b) The proof is obtained from the following inequality:

$$[M(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta \overline{x}_k, \overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k} \le [M(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta \overline{x}_k, \overline{0})}{r})]$$

c) Let $\overline{x} = (\overline{x}_k) \in \bar{l}_p(M, \Delta, p, \Phi)$, that is $\sup_{s \ge 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} [M(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta \overline{x}_k, \overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k} < \infty$.
This implies that $[M(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta \overline{x}_k, \overline{x}_0)}{r})]^{p_k} \le 1$ for sufficiently large k. Since M Orlicz function is non-decreasing we have

$$[M(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta \overline{x}_k, \overline{0})}{r})]^{q_k} \le [M(\frac{\overline{d}(\Delta \overline{x}_k, \overline{0})}{r})]^{p_k},$$

i.e., $\overline{x} = (\overline{x}_k) \in \bar{l}_p(M, \Delta, q, \Phi)$. This completes the proof. ∎

3   Open Problem

We introduced generalized difference sequence space of interval numbers using by Orlicz function and examined some properties of resulting sequence classes of interval numbers. It is open problem that this class of interval number sequences has similar properties in two or three-dimensional space?

References

[1] A. Esi, *λ- Sequence spaces of interval numbers*, Appl.Math.Inf.Sci., **8(3)**(2014) 1099-1102.

[2] A. Esi, *A new class of interval numbers*, Journal of Qafqaz University, Mathematics and Computer Science, **31**(2011) 98-102.

[3] A. Esi, *Lacunary sequence spaces of interval numbers*, Thai Journal of Mathematics **10(2)**(2012) 445-451.

[4] A. Esi, *Double lacunary sequence spaces of double sequence of interval numbers*, Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics, **31(1)**(2012) 297-306.

[5] A. Esi, *Strongly almost λ- convergence and statistically almost λ− convergence of interval numbers*, Scientia Magna, **7(2)**(2011) 117-122.

[6] A. Esi, *Statistical and lacunary statistical convergence of interval numbers in topological groups*, Acta Scientarium. Technology, **36(3)**(2014) 491-495.

[7] A. Esi and N. Braha, *On asymptotically λ- statistical equivalent sequences of interval numbers*, Acta Scientarium. Technology **35(3)**(2013) 515-520.

[8] A. Esi and A. Esi, *Asymptotically lacunary statistically equivalent sequences of interval numbers*, International Journal of Mathematics and Its Applications, **1(1)**(2013) 43-48.

[9] A. Esi and B. Hazarika, *Some ideal convergence of double ∧- interval number sequences defined by Orlicz function*, Global Journal of Mathematical Analysis, **1(3)**(2013) 110-116.

[10] E. Kreyzing, *Introduction Functional Analysis and Applications*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc, Canada, 1978.

[11] Kuo-Ping Chiao, *Fundamental properties of interval vector max-norm*, Tamsui Oxford Journal of Mathematics, **18(2)**(2002) 219-233.

[12] P.S. Dwyer, *Linear Computation*, New York, Wiley, 1951.

[13] P.S. Dwyer, *Error of matrix computation, simultaneous equations and eigenvalues*, National Bureu of Standarts, Applied Mathematics Series, **29**(1953) 49-58.

[14] R.E. Moore and C.T. Yang, *Interval Analysis I*, LMSD-285875, Lockheed Missiles and Spaces Company,1962.

[15] S. Markov, *Quasilinear spaces and their relation to vector spaces*, Electronic Journal on Mathematics of Computation, **2**(2005) 121.

[16] M. Sengonul and A. Erylmaz, *On the sequence spaces of interval numbers*, Thai Journal of Mathematics, **8(3)**(2010) 503-510.

[17] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *On Orlicz sequence spaces*, Israel Journal of Mathematics, **101**(1971) 379-390.

[18] A. Esi, *Some new sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions*, Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics Academia Sinica, **27**(1999) 71-76.

[19] A. Esi and M. Et, *Some new sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions*, Indian J.Pure Appl.Math., **31(8)**(2000) 967-972.

[20] R.E. Moore, *Automatic error analysis in digital computation*, LMSD Technical Report 48421, 1959.

[21] M.Et, Y.Altin, B.Choudhary and B.C.Tripathy, *On some classes of sequences defined by sequences of Orlicz functions*, Math.Ineq.Appl., **9(2)**(2006), 335-342.

[22] B.C.Tripathy, Y.Altin and M.Et, *Generalized difference sequence spaces on seminormed spaces defined by Orlicz functions*, Math.Slovaca, **58(3)**(2008), 315-324.

[23] B.C.Tripathy and H.Dutta, *On some new paranormed difference sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions*, Kyungpook Mathematical Journal, **50(1)**(2010), 59-69.

[24] Kizmaz, H.,*On certain sequence spaces,* Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, **24(2)**(1981), 169-172.